



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,471	08/23/2006	Kazuhiro Yokota	MAT-8885US	9692
53473	7590	07/01/2009		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 980			LETTMAN, BRYAN MATTHEW	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/590,471	Applicant(s) YOKOTA ET AL.	
	Examiner Bryan Lettman	Art Unit 3746	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bryan Lettman.

(3) Jacques Etkowicz.

(2) Devon Kramer.

(4) Andrew Koopman.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6.

Identification of prior art discussed: U. S. Patent 5,288,212 (Lee) and U. S. Patent 5,240,391 (Ramshankar).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed differences between application and Ramshankar with regard to claim 1. Discussed use of Figures 3 and 7 of Lee with regard to claim 6, no agreement reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746
--	--